

Jack Russo (State Bar No. 991992)  
Ansel Halliburton (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
COMPUTERLAW GROUP LLP  
401 Florence Street  
Palo Alto, CA 94301  
Telephone: (650) 327-9800  
Facsimile: (650) 618-1863  
E-mail: [jrusso@computerlaw.com](mailto:jrusso@computerlaw.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiff AFD CHINA  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW (USA)  
OFFICE, INC. and Third Party Counterclaim  
Defendant LYNN WANG

Christopher E. Hawk (State Bar No. 061635)  
Gordon Rees LLP  
121 SW Morrison Street  
Suite 1575  
Portland, OR 97204  
Tel: (503) 222-1075  
Fax: (503) 616-3600  
Email: [chawk@gordonrees.com](mailto:chawk@gordonrees.com)

Glenn Westreich (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
Aimee Furness (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
Haynes and Boone, LLP  
2033 Gateway Place, Suite 300  
San Jose, CA 95110  
Phone: (408) 660-4151  
Email: [glenn.westreich@haynesboone.com](mailto:glenn.westreich@haynesboone.com)  
[aimee.furness@haynesboone.com](mailto:aimee.furness@haynesboone.com)

Attorneys for AFD China Intellectual  
Property Law Office and AFD China  
Intellectual Property LLC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF OREGON  
PORTLAND DIVISION**

**AFD CHINA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY  
LAW (USA) OFFICE, INC.**, an Oregon  
corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

**AFD CHINA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY  
LAW OFFICE**, a Chinese Corporation,

Defendant.

**AFD CHINA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY  
LLC**, a Maryland limited liability company,

Counterclaim Plaintiff

v.

**LEI WANG, a/k/a LYNN WANG,**

Third Party Counterclaim Defendant.

Case No. 3:09-CV-1509-BR

**CORRECTED AMENDED JOINT EXHIBIT LIST**

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
1	11/29/2005	Cooperation Agreement (English)		
2	12/31/2004	Cooperation Agreement (English w/Certificate of Translation)		
3	12/30/2004	Cooperation Agreement (English)		
4		AFD Trademark Application		
5		AFD Trademark Prosecution History		
6		AFD Trademark Publication (excerpt from Official Gazette)		
7		AFD Trademark Registration		
8		AFD Trademark Notice of Publication		
9		AFD USA Website Capture		
11	2005-2013	Collection of all AFD USA newsletters from website	AFD China objects to the inclusion of the newsletters because they are irrelevant. (Fed. R. Evid. 401) See AFD China's Motion in Limine # 16.	Among other issues, the newsletters are relevant evidence of AFD USA's intent to resume use of the mark. See AFD USA's Opposition to Motion in Limine #16 for details.
12	12/9/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Xia Zheng re Practice Area		
13	12/10/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Xia Zheng FW: Ideas		
14	11/29 - 11/30/2004	Email from Lynn to Xia re AFD Name		
15	1/12/2005	Email from Lynn Wang to Info@efclip.com; info@anxinfonda.com; cc: xia zheng FW: Switch Firm		
16	12/28/2007	Termination Letter from Xia Zheng to Lynn Wang		
17	12/15/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Xia Zheng RE: law		
18	9/23/2013	TEAS filing receipt: Declaration of Use Under Section 8 for registration no. 3270951.		
19	12/3/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Xia Zheng Re: Response to trademark rejection		
20		AFD China brochure		
21		Lynn Wang AFD China - Partner Business Card		
22	12/14/2004	Email from Xia Zheng to Lynn Wang Re: Offer Letter		

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
23	1/7/2005	Email from Lynn Wang to Ramon Klitzke RE: Cost estimates		
24	11/21/2006	Emails between Lynn Wang and Xia Zheng		
25	12/14/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Xia Zheng re Website		
26	12/9/2005	Email from Lynn Wang to Xia Zheng RE: agreement		
27	12/20/2004	Letter from Lynn Wang to Anna McCoy Re: Review for the rejection of International Trademark Registration No. 822640		
28	12/7/2004	Transfer Letter from Lynn Wang to Jessica Yeung		
29	12/29/2007	Email from Xia Zheng to Lynn Wang re: our letter and announcement on December 29, 2007		
30	12/29/2007	Email from Alan Heimlich to Lynn Wang RE: Redirected communications to Beijing		
31	1/7/2008	Email from Lynn Wang to Ben Lee RE: signed request		
32	12/28/2007	Email from Blake R. Wiggs to Lynn Wang FW: Important Notice - please send us all your correspondences directly to beijing		
33	12/31/2007	Email from Brian Watwood to Lynn Wang re: From Lynn Wang		
34	12/30/2007	Email from John Bostrom to Lynn Wang Fw: Important Notice -- please send us all your correspondences directly to Beijing		
35	12/31/2007	Email from Walt Froloff to Xia Zheng Re: From Lynn Wang		
36	12/11/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Tim Garner Re: Web Design		
37	12/11/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Tim Garner Re: Content for Professionals page		

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
38	12/11/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Tim Garner RE: 5 designs for your review		
39	12/13/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Tim Garner RE: more photos		
40	12/15/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Matt@leanmydesign.com re Our website and brochure		
41	12/8/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Xia Zheng re Attorneys		
42	12/10/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Matt Learny RE: ideas		
43	12/10/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Xia Zheng FW: ideas		
44	12/17/2004	Email from Xia Zheng to Lynn Wang RE: Web is finally ready		
45	7/7/2011	AFD China's Objections and Responses to AFD USA's First Set of Requests for Admission	AFD China objects to the inclusion of the entirety of its discovery responses. There are requests and responses that are not relevant to the matter before this Court. (Fed. R. Evid. 401) AFD USA has not identified which discovery responses it intends to use. AFD China reserves the right to lodge further objections once those responses have been identified.	AFD USA does not intend to use the entirety of the responses. The responses are listed as a whole to assure their availability at trial as they become relevant in the course of witness testimony.
46	4/2/2012	AFD China's Objections and Responses to AFD USA's Second Set of Requests for Admission	AFD China objects to the inclusion of the entirety of its discovery responses. There are requests and responses that are not relevant to the matter before this Court. (Fed. R. Evid. 401) AFD USA has not identified which discovery responses it intends to use. AFD China reserves the right to lodge further objections once those responses have been identified.	AFD USA does not intend to use the entirety of the responses. The responses are listed as a whole to assure their availability at trial as they become relevant in the course of witness testimony.
47		AFD China's Objections and Responses to AFD USA's Third Set of Requests for Admission	AFD China objects to the inclusion of the entirety of its discovery responses. There are requests and responses that are not relevant to the matter before this Court. (Fed. R. Evid. 401) AFD USA has not identified which discovery responses it intends to use. AFD China reserves the right to lodge further objections once those responses have been identified.	AFD USA does not intend to use the entirety of the responses. The responses are listed as a whole to assure their availability at trial as they become relevant in the course of witness testimony.

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
48	12/10/2012	AFD China's and AFD LLC's Objections and Response to AFD USA's and Ms. Wang's Fourth Request for Admissions (Nos. 149-165)	AFD China objects to the inclusion of the entirety of its discovery responses. There are requests and responses that are not relevant to the matter before this Court. (Fed. R. Evid. 401) AFD USA has not identified which discovery responses it intends to use. AFD China reserves the right to lodge further objections once those responses have been identified.	AFD USA does not intend to use the entirety of the responses. The responses are listed as a whole to assure their availability at trial as they become relevant in the course of witness testimony.
49	4/5/2013	AFD USA Business Entity Data report		
50	10/24/2000	Qualification Certificate of Trademark Agents of the People's Republic of China		
51		Serena Morones CV		
52	7/19/2010	Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories and Second Request for Production	AFD China objects to the inclusion of the entirety of its discovery responses. There are requests and responses that are not relevant to the matter before this Court. (Fed. R. Evid. 401) AFD USA has not identified which discovery responses it intends to use. AFD China reserves the right to lodge further objections once those responses have been identified.	AFD USA does not intend to use the entirety of the responses. The responses are listed as a whole to assure their availability at trial as they become relevant in the course of witness testimony.
53	7/7/2011	Defendant and Counterclaimants AFD China Intellectual Property LLC and AFD China Intellectual Property Law Offices' Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 12-25) and Third Set of Requests for Production of Documents (No. 131)	AFD China objects to the inclusion of the entirety of its discovery responses. There are requests and responses that are not relevant to the matter before this Court. (Fed. R. Evid. 401) AFD USA has not identified which discovery responses it intends to use. AFD China reserves the right to lodge further objections once those responses have been identified.	AFD USA does not intend to use the entirety of the responses. The responses are listed as a whole to assure their availability at trial as they become relevant in the course of witness testimony.
54		Summary list of law firm clients	AFD USA has represented that this is a demonstrative exhibit. AFD China reserves the right to object once the exhibit has been shared with AFD China. Further, this information is irrelevant to who owns the trademark.	Relevant to use of the mark, which is a prerequisite to trademark ownership.
55		Summary list of corporate clients	AFD USA has represented that this is a demonstrative exhibit. AFD China reserves the right to object once the exhibit has been shared with AFD China. Further, this information is irrelevant to who owns the trademark.	Relevant to use of the mark, which is a prerequisite to trademark ownership.

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
56		Summary list of trips taken by Lynn Wang	AFD USA has represented that this is a demonstrative exhibit. AFD China reserves the right to object once the exhibit has been shared with AFD China.	Relevant to use of the mark, which is a prerequisite to trademark ownership.
57		Summary list of business lunches attended by Lynn Wang	AFD USA has represented that this is a demonstrative exhibit. AFD China reserves the right to object once the exhibit has been shared with AFD China.	Relevant to use of the mark, which is a prerequisite to trademark ownership.
58		Summary list of conferences attended by Lynn Wang	AFD USA has represented that this is a demonstrative exhibit. AFD China reserves the right to object once the exhibit has been shared with AFD China.	Relevant to use of the mark, which is a prerequisite to trademark ownership.
59		Summary list/timeline of correspondence regarding "AFD" trademark	AFD USA has represented that this is a demonstrative exhibit. AFD China reserves the right to object once the exhibit has been shared with AFD China.	Relevant to creation, use, and ownership of the mark.
60		Summary of advertising and promotional expenses	AFD USA has represented that this is a demonstrative exhibit. AFD China reserves the right to object once the exhibit has been shared with AFD China.	Relevant to use of the mark, which is a prerequisite to trademark ownership.
61		Summary of advertising materials	AFD USA has represented that this is a demonstrative exhibit. AFD China reserves the right to object once the exhibit has been shared with AFD China.	Relevant to use of the mark, which is a prerequisite to trademark ownership.
62	9/26/2008	Email from XZ to Lynn Wang; Subject: AFD与王蕾的结算清单-请以此为准	AFD China objects to this document because it is not complete, the attachments are not included. AFD China further objects because this document is not relevant to the issues before the Court.	
63	12/29/2007	Letter from Xia Zheng to Lynn Wang (Chinese)		
64	12/29/2007	Letter from Xia Zheng to Lynn Wang (English)		
65		Summary list of corrected translations	AFD China objects to this document. These seem to be official Chinese Patent documents, all dated after termination of the Cooperation Agreement. AFD USA has represented that these documents contain errors allegedly made by AFD China. The quality of the services are not at issue in this case and therefore, these documents are not relevant.	These documents are examples of translations performed by AFD China. AFD USA will use them with witnesses to discuss the importance of translation accuracy, including the possible consequences of errors, and the good will that quality control over translation quality produced in the "AFD" mark.

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
66	4/3/2005	Archive of <a href="http://www.anxinfonda.com/">http://www.anxinfonda.com/</a>	AFD China objects because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it. Further AFD China objects because the document is irrelevant to this matter. (Fed. R. Evid. 401) The anxinfonda.com website is not at issue - the AFD Mark is the central issue here. See AFD China's Motion in Limine #19.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>
67	2/26/2005	Archive of <a href="http://www.anxinfonda.com/main.htm">http://www.anxinfonda.com/main.htm</a>	AFD China objects because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it. Further AFD China objects because the document is irrelevant to this matter. (Fed. R. Evid. 401) The anxinfonda.com website is not at issue - the AFD Mark is the central issue here. See AFD China's Motion in Limine #19.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>
68	3/2/2005	Archive of <a href="http://anxinfonda.com/main_pattern.htm">http://anxinfonda.com/main_pattern.htm</a>	AFD China objects because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it. Further AFD China objects because the document is irrelevant to this matter. (Fed. R. Evid. 401) The anxinfonda.com website is not at issue - the AFD Mark is the central issue here. See AFD China's Motion in Limine #19.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>
69	3/2/2005	Archive of <a href="http://anxinfonda.com/main_trademarks.htm">http://anxinfonda.com/main_trademarks.htm</a>	AFD China objects because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it. Further AFD China objects because the document is irrelevant to this matter. (Fed. R. Evid. 401) The anxinfonda.com website is not at issue - the AFD Mark is the central issue here. See AFD China's Motion in Limine #19.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
70	3/2/2005	Archive of <a href="http://anxinfonda.com/main_copyrights.htm">http://anxinfonda.com/main_copyrights.htm</a>	AFD China objects because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it. Further AFD China objects because the document is irrelevant to this matter. (Fed. R. Evid. 401) The anxinfonda.com website is not at issue - the AFD Mark is the central issue here. See AFD China's Motion in Limine #19.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>
71	6/26/2004	Archive of <a href="http://www.anxinfonda.com/pro_chemical.htm">http://www.anxinfonda.com/pro_chemical.htm</a>	AFD China objects because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it. Further AFD China objects because the document is irrelevant to this matter. (Fed. R. Evid. 401) The anxinfonda.com website is not at issue - the AFD Mark is the central issue here. See AFD China's Motion in Limine #19. Finally, the purported date of this document is June 26, 2004 - prior to the	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>
72	3/6/2005	Archive of <a href="http://www.anxinfonda.com/about.htm">http://www.anxinfonda.com/about.htm</a>	AFD China objects because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it. Further AFD China objects because the document is irrelevant to this matter. (Fed. R. Evid. 401) The anxinfonda.com website is not at issue - the AFD Mark is the central issue here. See AFD China's Motion in Limine #19.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>
73	3/7/2005	Archive of <a href="http://www.anxinfonda.com/contact.htm">http://www.anxinfonda.com/contact.htm</a>	AFD China objects because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it. Further AFD China objects because the document is irrelevant to this matter. (Fed. R. Evid. 401) The anxinfonda.com website is not at issue - the AFD Mark is the central issue here. See AFD China's Motion in Limine #19.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>



Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
74	2/8/2006	Archive of <a href="http://afdip.com">http://afdip.com</a>	AFD China objects to this document because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>
75	5/1/2006	Archive of <a href="http://www.afdip.com/index.htm">http://www.afdip.com/index.htm</a>	AFD China objects to this document because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>
76	5/3/2006	Archive of <a href="http://www.afdip.com/practices.htm">http://www.afdip.com/practices.htm</a>	AFD China objects to this document because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>
78	5/3/2006	Archive of <a href="http://www.afdip.com/practices%20areas/practices-patents.htm">http://www.afdip.com/practices%20areas/practices-patents.htm</a>	AFD China objects to this document because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
79	5/3/2006	Archive of <a href="http://www.afdip.com/practices%20areas/practices-trademarks.htm">http://www.afdip.com/practices%20areas/practices-trademarks.htm</a>	AFD China objects to this document because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>
80	5/3/2006	Archive of <a href="http://www.afdip.com/practices%20areas/practices-copyrights.htm">http://www.afdip.com/practices%20areas/practices-copyrights.htm</a>	AFD China objects to this document because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>
81	5/3/2006	Archive of <a href="http://www.afdip.com/professions.htm">http://www.afdip.com/professions.htm</a>	AFD China objects to this document because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>
82	12/12/2009	Archive of <a href="http://afdip.com/xia_zheng.htm">http://afdip.com/xia_zheng.htm</a>	AFD China objects to this document because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
83	5/3/2006	Archive of <a href="http://www.afdip.com/news-resources.htm">http://www.afdip.com/news-resources.htm</a>	AFD China objects to this document because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>
84	5/3/2006	Archive of <a href="http://www.afdip.com/china_ip.htm">http://www.afdip.com/china_ip.htm</a>	AFD China objects to this document because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>
85	5/3/2006	Archive of <a href="http://www.afdip.com/contact.htm">http://www.afdip.com/contact.htm</a>	AFD China objects to this document because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>
86	5/3/2006	Archive of <a href="http://www.afdip.com/location.htm">http://www.afdip.com/location.htm</a>	AFD China objects to this document because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
87	9/24/2005	Archive of <a href="http://afdip-usa.com/">http://afdip-usa.com/</a>	AFD China objects to this document because it is not authenticated and there is no witness competent to testify about it.	<p>This exhibit is relevant to priority of use of the "AFD" mark between AFD USA and AFD China, which is one of the central disputed issues in this case and critical to determining ownership of the mark.</p> <p>AFD USA will present an admissible certification from the Internet Archive's custodian of records to authenticate this exhibit. It is a copy of AFD China's website retrieved and stored by a disinterested third party; AFD China has advanced no reasons to doubt its authenticity.</p>
88		Affidavit of Internet Archive	AFD China objects because it has still not received a copy of this document. AFD China objects to this exhibit (based on what AFD USA represents it is) as hearsay. AFD China reserves its right to lodge further objections upon receipt and inspection of this document	AFD USA will provide a copy of this document as soon as it receives it from the Internet Archive. AFD USA has explained the Internet Archive's process to AFD China.
89		Damages summary/demonstrative exhibits TBD	AFD USA has represented that this is a demonstrative exhibit. AFD China reserves the right to object once the exhibit has been shared with AFD China.	
90		Other summary/demonstrative exhibits TBD	AFD USA has represented that this is a demonstrative exhibit. AFD China reserves the right to object once the exhibit has been shared with AFD China.	
91	12/8/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Marie Collazo		
102	12/8/2004	Email from Zheng Xia		
103		Business Entity Filing Records		
104	12/15/2004	Offer letter to Lei Wang from AFD China Intellectual Property Law Office		
105	Various Dates	Invoices		
106	12/8/2005	Email from Lynn Wang to Xia Zheng re: One more thing		
107	11/29/2005	Cooperation Agreement (Chinese)		
108	11/29/2005	Cooperation Agreement (English)		
109	12/31/2004	Agreement (Chinese)		
110	2/9/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Xia Zheng re: 2005 total balance		
112	12/16/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Xia Zheng re: new website		

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
113	12/19/2004	Email from Tim Garner to Lynn Wang re: Documents		
114	12/13/2004	Whois.net for afdip.com		
115		Invoices		
116		Invoices		
117	1/25/2005	Letter from Chris Conway to Lynn Wang		
118		Power of Attorney		
119	4/18/2005	Letter from Charles S. Gauger, P.C. to Lynn Wang re: Incorporation		
120	Various Dates	Various emails from Lynn Wang		
121	11/7/2006	Email from Lynn Wang to John Bostrom re: your VM		
123	9/18/2007	Email from Lynn Wang to Georganna Harris FW: Slight Emergency		
124	4/3/2008	Email from Lynn Wang to Walt re: Unpaid Invoice - AFD		
125	4/3/2008	Email from Lynn Wang to Vikki Athen re: Unpaid Invoice		
126	11/30/2005	Email from afdip to Lynn Wang re: Transferring the IOA for 080500019		
127	12/1/2005	Email from Michael Morford to Lynn Wang re: 1st of 12/01/2005 - FW: Transferring the IOA for 080500019		
128	2/13/2006	Email from afdip to Lynn Wang Fw: Forward the 080500030-IOA		
129	2/15/2006	Email from Michael Morford to Lynn Wang 7th of 2/16/06 - FW: Forward the 080500030-IOA		
130	12/19/2007	Email from afdip-usa to Lynn Wang re: Forwarding the First Office Action for Patent Application in China of PCT/US03/07959		
131	12/20/2007	Email from Georganna Harris to intl-usa@afdip.com FW: 10th of 12/19 - FW: Forwarding the First Office Action for Patent Application in China PCT/US03/07959		

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
132		Letter from Ms. Wang at ANSEN Patent Law Office to Alexander C. Johnson		
133	Various Dates	ANSEN Invoices		
134	9/26/2006	First Application for Trademark 77008112 (AFD China Intellectual Property Law (USA) Office, INC		
135	9/26/2006	Trademark Jacket for Application, Principal Register 77008124 Business and Consulting		
139	10/10/2007	Email from Krystal Pease to Lynn Wang re: Change AFD name		
140	12/19/2007	Email from Lynn Wang to Xia Zheng FW: modify design		
141	12/28/2007	Letter from AFD China Intellectual Property Law Office to Lynn Wang (Chinese)		
142	12/28/2007	Letter from AFD China Intellectual Property Law Office to Lynn Wang (English - Apex Translations, December 27, 2011)		
146	12/29/2007	AFD China Intellectual Property letter to clients		
147	Various Dates	Emails between Lynn Wang and various persons re Termination of Relationship between Lynn Wang and AFDIP		
148	Various Dates	Various emails		
149	1/1/2008	Email from Lynn Wang to Jeff Sweetman, Justin Simpson, and Martin Burk re: Recent emails from AFD and Lynn Wang to our clients		
150	1/3/2008	Email from Lynn Wang to Info-usa re: Fax received from 0086 10 82755686		
151	1/8/2008	Email from Lynn Wang to Brian Johnson re: From Lynn Wang		
152	1/9/2008	Email from Lynn Wang to Kim Hunter re: Tempress Intellectual Property relationship		

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
153	2/11/2008	Email from Lynn Wang and David D'Ascenzo re: Update		
154	2/13/2008	Email from David D'Ascenzo to Xia Zheng re: AFD Invoices		
155	4/1/2008	Email from Henry Auer (Proteus Patent Practice) to Xia Zheng re: Unpaid Invoices		
156	1/2/2008	Email from George Hu to Lynn Wang and Xia Zheng re: PCT/US2004/019633		
157	1/2/2008	Email from Lynn Wang to Georganna Harris re: Woodcock		
158	1/3/2008	Letter from John Branch (Darby & Darby) to AFD China		
159	Various Dates	Various Emails		
160	1/1/2008	Pacific China Intellectual Property Group Co., Ltd. Announcement that Lynn Wang has joined the firm as of 1/2/2008		
161	1/1/2008	Emails from Justin Simpson to Lynn Wang re: My 2nd Email - Waiting for approval		
162	4/14/2006	Email from Lynn Wang to Justin Simpson re: Phone call		
163		Chart		
164		Profit and Loss Statements		
165	1/4/2012	Confidential Settlement Agreement		
166	1/31/2009	2008 AFD USA Tax Return		
167	2/17/2010	2009 AFD USA Tax Return		
168	2/9/2011	2010 AFD USA Tax Return		
169	2/20/2012	2011 AFD USA Tax Return		
170	4/1/2009	2008 Lei Wang Tax Return		
171	4/14/2010	2009 Lei Wang Tax Return		
172	4/11/2011	2010 Lei Wang Tax Return		

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
173	4/8/2008	Email from Justin Simpson to Lynn Wang re: \$40k return - till Friday	Relevance; prejudice; confusion; waste of time (FRE 403)	AFD China responds that it does not intend to question witnesses regarding Ms. Wang's alleged investment in Inovia/PCT Filer. See AFD China's response to AFD USA's Motion in Limine # 11. This document is relevant because AFD USA alleges that revenue AFD China received from Inovia/PCT Filer is as a result of alleged trademark infringement. This email exchange between Invoia/ PCT Filer is evidence of a lack of confusion on Invoia/PCT Filer's part.
174	1/1/2008	AFDIP Transaction Detail by Account January through December 2008		
175	12/18/2009	PRC Trial Court Judgment (Chinese)	Relevance; prejudice; confusion; waste of time (FRE 403)	AFD China responds that evidence related to the Chinese case and judgment between these parties is relevant to this matter - specifically AFD China's claim of waiver and acquiescence. See AFD China's response to AFD USA's Motion in Limine # 7.
176	12/18/2009	PRC Trial Court Judgment (English - Yunxun Li translation dated 4-7-2010)	Relevance; prejudice; confusion; waste of time (FRE 403)	AFD China responds that evidence related to the Chinese case and judgment between these parties is relevant to this matter - specifically AFD China's claim of waiver and acquiescence. See AFD China's response to AFD USA's Motion in Limine # 7.
177		AFD China website		
178		Email from Justin Simpson to Lynn Wang re: November and December Figures - And Investment Return	Relevance; prejudice; confusion; waste of time (FRE 403)	AFD China responds that it does not intend to question witnesses regarding Ms. Wang's alleged investment in Inovia/PCT Filer. See AFD China's response to AFD USA's Motion in Limine # 11. This document is relevant because AFD USA alleges that revenue AFD China received from Inovia/PCT Filer is as a result of alleged trademark infringement. This email exchange between Invoia/ PCT Filer is evidence of a lack of confusion on Invoia/PCT Filer's part.
179		ADF-China [sic] USA TWPL		
180	7/15/2008	Email from Donna Spence to Xia Zheng re: Invoice IP20080520-W		
181	9/17/2008	Email from Kimberly La to Xia Zheng re: Chinese Design Patents Nos. ZL 2006301390896 and ZL 200630139090.9		
182		Copy of checks		
183		Expense Statement		
184		Email from Lynn Wang to Xia Zheng re practice area		
185	Various Dates	Various letters and invoices		
186	10/1/2007	Consent to Actions of Shareholders and Board of Directors of AFD China Intellectual Property Law (USA) Office, Inc.		



Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
187		Attorney Bios from AFD China Intellectual Property Law Office Website		
188	12/4/2009	Case No. 109 CV 150428; AFD China Intellectual Property Law Office v. Lynn Wang; Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Resolution of Earlier-Filed Parallel Foreign Action with Memorandum of Points and Authorities	Relevance; prejudice; confusion; waste of time (FRE 403)	AFD China responds that this document contains a judicial admission by Ms. Wang and AFD USA in the California litigation that "AFD USA and Ms. Wang are substantially identical parties." This admission is relevant to AFD China's Alter Ego claim.
189	12/31/2007	Wells Fargo Wire Transfer from Lei Wang to AFD China Intellectual Property Law Office for \$100,000		
190	9/4/2007	Wells Fargo Wire Transfer from AFD China IP to AFD China Intellectual Property Law Office for \$90,000		
191	2008	AFD China IP Bank Statements 796-1095598 (2008)		
192	2009	AFD China IP Account Bank Statements 796-1095598 (2009)		
193	2010	AFD China IP Basic Business Checking Account Bank Statements 796-1095598 (2010)		
194	2011	AFD China IP Basic Business Checking Account Bank Statements 796-1095598 (2011)		
195	2008	Lei Wang Account Statement 703-8415530		
196	2008	Lei Wang Account Statement 1864119621		
197	2009	Lei Wang Account Statement 703-8415530		
198	2009	Lei Wang Account Statement 1864119621		
199	2010	Lei Wang Account Statement 703-8415530		
200	2010	Lei Wang Account Statement 1864119621		
201	2011	Lei Wang Account Statement 703-8415530		

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
202	2011	Lei Wang Account Statement 1864119621		
203	2012	Lei Wang Account Statement 703-8415530		
204	2012	Lei Wang Account Statement 1864119621		
205	2012	AFD China IP Basic Business Checking Account Statement (January - October 2012)		
206	Various Dates	Various Emails		
207	5/28/2009	Declaration of Zhuo Xu and attachment		
208	10/4/2013	Email from Ansel Halliburton to Jennifer Murphy RE: AFD and attachment		
209	1/12/2005	Agency Agreement		
210	7/23/2009	Petition for Cancellation of Trademark Registration No. 3,270,951		
211	1/14/2010	Motion to Suspend for Civil Action from Cancellation Proceedings of Trademark Registration No. 3,270,951	Relevance; prejudice; confusion; waste of time (FRE 403)	AFD China responds that AFD USA continues to pursue an alleged Statute of Limitations defense to AFD China's trademark claims in this matter. This document (and the related cancellation proceeding, in general) is relevant to the Statute of Limitations claim. Further, this document also supports AFD China's defenses of waiver and acquiescence. AFD China filed its cancellation proceeding in July 2009 and this Court's matter was not filed until December 2009.
212	11/17/2008	Application for Trademark Serial No. 77615721		
213	2/17/2009	USPTO Office Action for Trademark Serial No. 77615721		
215	12/30/2004	Agreement (Chinese)		
216	12/30/2004	Agreement (English - Merrill Translation dated 1-25-13)		
217	Various Dates	Various Invoices		
218		AFDIP Transaction Detail by Account January through December 2008		
219	12/29/2007	Email from Xia Zheng to david@khpatent.com FW: important Notice - please send us all your correspondences directly to Beijing		

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
220		AFD Intellectual Property LLC Marketing Expenses		
221		Printout from Peksung Intellectual Property Ltd website		
222	12/8/2004	Email from Lynn Wang to Michael Morford re: cover page		
223	2/14/2012	Settlement Agreement	Relevance; prejudice; confusion; waste of time (FRE 403)	AFD China responds that this document is relevant to damages in this matter. Under the Settlement Agreement, AFD USA and Ms. Wang agreed not to assert any claim for payment on the attached list of invoices. Ms. Morones included those invoices as part of AFD China's revenue in violation of the Settlement Agreement.
224		Peksung Quickbooks print out		
225		Peksung Quickbooks print out		
226		Peksung Quickbooks print out		
227	1/13/2014	Case No. 109 CV 150428; AFD China Intellectual Property Law Office v. Lynn Wang; Declaration of Lynn Wang in Support of AFD USA's Opposition to AFD China's Motion for Expert Witness Costs (redacted in part)	Relevance; prejudice; confusion; waste of time (FRE 403)	AFD China responds that this document is relevant to AFD China's alter ego claim. AFD China proposes to redact most of the document with the exception of those portions wherein Ms. Wang repeatedly asserts that AFD USA does not have the funds to pay the amounts AFD China requested. The alter ego claim requires the jury to find: "that AFD USA has no assets with which to satisfy the judgment." Ms. Wang's sworn declaration supports AFD China's position and in no way is prejudicial since Ms. Wang swore to those statements only two months ago.
228		John Hansen CV		
229		Spreadsheet provided to Mr. Hansen regarding foreign referrals		
230		Resume of Jay Cheng	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Cheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Jay Cheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.
231	10/7/2005	Email from Jay Cheng to Lynn Wang	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Cheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Jay Cheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
232	10/27/2005	Email from Jay Cheng to Lynn Wang	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Cheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Jay Cheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.
233	12/22/2005	Email from Jay Cheng to Lynn Wang	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Cheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Jay Cheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.
234	12/22/2005	Email from Jay Cheng to Lynn Wang	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Cheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Jay Cheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.
235	12/28/2005	Email from Ji Cheng to Lynn Wang	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Cheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Jay Cheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.
236	5/17/2007	Email from Ji Cheng to Lynn Wang	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Cheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Jay Cheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.
237	5/18/2007	Email from Lynn Wang to AFDIP re: experts comments	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Cheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Jay Cheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.
238	5/20/2007	Email from Ji Cheng to Lynn Wang	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Cheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Jay Cheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
239	5/20/2007	Email from Lynn Wang to AFDIP re: need reviewed	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Cheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Jay Cheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.
240	11/18/2007	Email fro Ji Cheng to Lynn Wang	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Cheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Jay Cheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.
241	6/25/2007	Email from Lynn Wang to Jennifer Gierada re: Proofreading Request		
242	6/27/2007	Email from Lynn Wang to Jennifer Gierada re: Proofreading		
243	8/9/2007	Email from Lynn Wang to Jennifer Gierada		
244	12/6/2007	Email from Jennifer Gierada to Lynn Wang re Invoice		
245	12/25/2007	Email from Jennifer Gierada to Lynn Wang re Corrected Invoice		
246		Ansen Patent Law Office	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Chunsheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Li Chunsheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.
247		Ansen Patent Law Office - Summary	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Chunsheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Li Chunsheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.
248		Intellectual Property Protection in China: Fact or Fiction?	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Chunsheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Li Chunsheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
249	9/2/2004	Email from Want to Ganz, Fordenbacher, et al re APT patent application options for China	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Chunsheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Li Chunsheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.
250	1/5/2005	Email from Bower to Want re: PCT Application No. PCT/US03/22829 Our File 4359-003	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Chunsheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Li Chunsheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.
251	8/4/2006	Email from Li to Wang re: Claim 080600450	AFD China objects to this document because the quality of the translation services is not relevant to this matter (AFD China Motion in Limine # 17 and 18). AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Mr. Chunsheng is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to call Dr. Li Chunsheng to discuss his work for AFD USA providing quality control of English-to-Chinese technical translations to rebut AFD China's theme that Ms. Wang and AFD USA were merely "marketing agents." See AFD USA's opposition to Motions in Limine #17-18.
252	12/18/2007	Email from Matt Leamy to Lynn Wang		
254	4/7/2005	Email from Glazer to Wang re: Getting Together	AFD China objects to the relevance of any testimony by Ms. Glazer. AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Ms. Glazer is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to present fact testimony by Ms. Glazer related to the creation of the "AFD" mark and her role advising Ms. Wang in that respect.
255	11/10/2004	Email from Wang to Glazer re: Request to Set Luncheon Meeting	AFD China objects to the relevance of any testimony by Ms. Glazer. AFD China submits this as an exhibit only if Ms. Glazer is allowed to testify	AFD USA intends to present fact testimony by Ms. Glazer related to the creation of the "AFD" mark and her role advising Ms. Wang in that respect.
256	12/10/2005	Email from Lynn Wang to Xia Zheng		
257	12/12/2005	Email from Lynn Wang to Hillary Brooks		
258	12/29/2007	Letter Notice from Xia Zheng to Alex Johnson		
259	12/29/2007	Email from Josephine Lee to Lynn Wang FW: Important Notice - please send us all your correspondence directly to Beijing		
260	1/2/2008	Email from William Rauchholz to Xia Zheng, Lynn Wang re: Termination of Relationship Between Lynn Wang and AFDIP		
261	1/3/2008	Email from Xia Zheng to Lynn Wang, William Rauchholz re: Termination of Relationship between Lynn Wang and AFDIP		

Ex. No.	Date	Name	Objection	Response
262	1/4/2008	Email from Xia Zheng to Lynn Wang, William Rauchholz re: Termination of Relationship between Lynn Wang and AFDIP		
263	8/27/2008	Email from Xia Zheng to Pat Beck re: IMPORTANT NOTICE - Outstanding invoices prior to December 28, 2007		
264	12/8/2008	Email from Pat Beck to finance@afdip.com; re: Urgent -- Payments have been made from Statement		
265	12/9/2009	Email from William Rauchholz to Xia Zheng finance@afdip.com re: Recovery of		
266		Client Affidavit for Banner & Witcoff, Ltd.		
267	12/28/2007	Email from international@afdip.com to Chris Conway re: Important Notice - please send us all your correspondence directly to Beijing		
268	12/29/2007	Email from international@afdip.com to Chris Conway re: Import Notice -- please send us all your correspondence directly to Beijing		
270		Attachment 4 to Mr. Hansen's report "Summary of AFD China Profits		
271		Attachment 5 to Mr. Hansen's report "Summary of Revenue Adjustments and Apportionment"		
272		Attachment 7 to Mr. Hansen's report "Calculation of AFD China Margin"		
273		Attachment 7.1 to Mr. Hansen's report "AFD China Expenses"		
274		Second Amended Complaint		
275	12/20/2004	Letter from Lynn Wang to Anna McCoy with attachment		

Dated: March 18, 2014

/s/ Aimee Furness

Christopher E. Hawk, OSB #061635  
Gordon & Rees LLP  
121 SW Morrison Street, Suite 1575  
Portland, Oregon 97204

Glenn Westreich (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
Aimee Furness (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
Haynes & Boone, LLP  
2033 Gateway Place, Suite 300  
San Jose, California 95110

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT AFD  
CHINA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY  
LAW OFFICE AND THIRD PARTY  
COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF AFD  
CHINA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY  
LLC

/s/ Ansel Halliburton

Jack Russo, OSB #991992  
Ansel Halliburton (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
Computerlaw Group LLP  
401 Florence Street  
Palo Alto, CA 94301

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF AFD  
CHINA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY  
LAW (USA) OFFICE, INC. AND THIRD  
PARTY COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT  
LYNN WANG